

The History of Chemtrails

Author: Rick Karstein, June, 2019

Here's a little blurb on the history of chemtrails I did from a legal perspective as well as some related online posts of mine. If people don't believe in weather modification, they may be told that the laws do, and let's not forget that laws are not passed to regulate or allow things that do not exist.

It has been discussed since 1954 when '**Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars**' was handed out at the first Bilderberg meeting. First we had Operation Bacterium which, among other things, involved spraying carcinogens off tenement roofs in St. Louis to see if the blacks got cancer from it.

http://www.911omissionreport.com/operation_bacterium.html

Then came the UN treaty...

"As a reminder, weather warfare or the use of weather modifications for purposes of causing damage or destruction was prohibited by world governments on May 1977 in Geneva, and entered into force on October 1978 via the Environmental Modification Convention (ENMOD)."

<https://www.zerohedge.com/news/2018-07-06/cloud-theft-top-iranian-general-accuses-israel-stealing-its-rain>

While the technology for weather manipulation has been around for decades, it is anyone's guess to the validity of Iran's claims that Israel is stealing its water through weather modification techniques. However, it is important to know that the technology does exist and both countries want to nuke each other.

Then our congress asked for an analysis on weather modification and the analysis was about 800 pages long. LoL.

<http://ufdc.ufl.edu/AA00025909/00001>

Then, from Congress in 1978, came the addition of Chapter 32 to Title 50 of the US Code.

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/50/chapter-32>

And then, in 1996, the US Air Force came out with a plan to control and own the weather by 2025, and then they came out about 5 years ago and said they finished early. LoL

<https://highlander.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Col-Tamzy-J.-House-et-al-Weather-as-a-Force-Multiplier-Owning-the-Weather-in-2025.pdf>

In 1998, we amended Chapter 32 to require the consent of the people to be sprayed, but the provisions already in that chapter allowed for that requirement to be arguably suspended under provisions in Sections 1512 and 1515 if Congress passed an act or the POTUS executed an executive order. Then the government figured out how to add chemtrails to jet fuel.

<https://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/chemtrails-in-jet-fuel-government-document-supports-adding-aerosols-to-fuel/>

This is a brief discussion of some of the history off the top of my head. Here's the punchline. About a decade ago, the Mexicans and Canadians went to the UN complaining about how US chemtrails were blowing over into their territories. As a result, and for other reasons, the UN came out with this treaty called the Biodiversity Treaty, which among other things, required a country not to spray its own people. The US said they'd sign the treaty about 7 years ago, and as far as I know, they never did.

<https://cen.acs.org/articles/88/i45/Countries-Agree-Ban-Geoengineering.html>

In 2018, the government has actually admitted chemtrails now contain sulphate particles, supposedly to combat global warming, acting as though they have just begun to add the sulphate particles. LoL - they say it is for solar dimming like what happens when a volcano erupts spewing particles into the air blocking sunlight and associated heat. The story has even been shown on CBS. How can anyone say chemtrails don't exist unless they are blind and don't watch CBS?

<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/geoengineering-treatment-stratospheric-aerosol-injection-climate-change-study-today-2018-11-23/>

And recently, in Globalreseach.CA, an article came out based on an interview with a whistleblower that discussed a reason for chemtrails having to do with the destruction of the ozone layer above the poles back in the 1940's and 1950's. Although the full analysis of this is an entirely different story by itself, here's a link to that global research report:

Climate Disruption: It's Not Due to CO2

<https://www.globalresearch.ca/climate-disruption-its-not-due-to-co2/5677036>

Note that my analysis of this research may be found in my library in doc keywords: "real reason for chemtrails" and "ozone deepfreeze fishbowl."

Separate Related Post -

The question is who has control over the public air space, and by act of US Congress (which was voted on by representatives from all the states), the answer is the Federal Aviation Administration set up by the Federal Aviation Act that gives the Feds the right to control about 400 feet above the ground up. So no state can legislate the law and pass something like the clean sky ordinance.

What's the solution to this problem? First you must repeal the federal law, then implement a state law, and don't forget to repeal Chapter 32 of the US Code. There's a reason public air space is controlled by the Feds, and it's called interstate commerce and national security. So good luck with that.

P.S. - I know a lot of laypeople say bad things about the United Nations because they are ignorant of the good it has done, but did you know that back in the 1970's, the UN passed a treaty that was adopted by the US and lots of other countries that prohibited a country from among other things spraying crap on another country from the air. It was called the Environmental Modification Treaty.

Right after we adopted The Environmental Modifications Treaty, our US Congress passed Chapter 32 of Title 50 into law and was going forward to legally allow itself to spray us.

Now the problem was that our spraying contaminated Mexican and Canadian airspace so the Canadians and Mexicans and other countries went to the UN and said we need to pass a treaty that prohibits a country from spraying its own people. Well, it's called the Biodiversity Treaty, and lots of countries adopted it. The US said it was going to adopt it, but it never did. So if you want to do something about the chemtrail problem, maybe write your representatives, start a movement, to get the US to adopt this treaty which would require it to modify or repeal chapter 32 of title 50 of the US Code.

One poster wrote: “Rick Karstein - the way you want to approach it, besides trying to get the Senate to ratify the UN Biodiversity Treaty and repeal the entire Chapter 32 of title 50, is through the US Congress, and the definition of consent given in 50 USC 1520(a) where it is simply stated that the people must consent to being sprayed. In two other places in the chapter 32 of title 50 that contains this language (in section 1512 and section 1515), it says that requirements under the chapter may be suspended by executive order or act of Congress.”

The question is whether the requirement of the consent of the people to being sprayed can be suspended by act of Congress or Executive order and if so, for what reason, like national security under the NSA of 1947. It is this suspension language that needs to be modified or repealed to deal with this problem. It would be a lot easier than repealing all of chapter 32 (in fact, they could make it look like a technical correction to avoid unwanted publicity and questioning by consumer groups) and I bet it would go very far toward eliminating the problem. Arguably, bombarding you with toxic sprays from the air is a criminal battery if you are not treated as consenting to it.

###

The William Cooper document ‘**Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars**’ can be found here:

<https://highlander.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/William-Cooper-Silent-Weapons-for-Quiet-Wars.pdf>

///